STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF MARION)	CAUSE NO.
CHRISTINA HARDCASTLE		
VS.		

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Comes now the plaintiff, Christina Hardcastle, by counsel, Ken Nunn Law Office, and for cause of action against the defendant, Kroger Limited Partnership I, alleges and says:

- 1. That on or about July 27, 2020, the plaintiff, Christina Hardcastle, was a customer at the Kroger store located at 5173 West Washington Street in Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana.
- 2. That on or about July 27, 2020, the plaintiff, Christina Hardcastle, slipped and fell on the wet floor at said location, causing the plaintiff to suffer serious injuries.
- 3. That it was the duty of the defendant to use ordinary care and diligence to keep and maintain the said premises in a condition reasonably safe for its intended uses and free from all defects and conditions which would render the premises dangerous and unsafe for plaintiff, or present an unreasonable risk of harm to plaintiff in her lawful use of same.
- 4. That it was the duty of the defendant to exercise reasonable care to protect plaintiff, by inspection and other affirmative acts, from the danger of reasonably foreseeable injury occurring from reasonably foreseeable use of said premises.
- 5. That it was the duty of the defendant to have available sufficient personnel and equipment to properly inspect and maintain the aforesaid premises in a condition reasonably safe for plaintiff and free from defects and conditions rendering the premises unsafe.
- 6. That it was the duty of the defendant to warn plaintiff of the dangerous and unsafe condition existing on said premises.
- 7. That the defendant knew or should have known of the unreasonable risk of danger to the plaintiff but failed either to discover it or to correct it after discovery.

- 8. That the fall and resultant permanent injuries of plaintiff were caused by the negligence of the defendant who failed to utilize reasonable care in the inspection and maintenance of said premises.
- 9. That the aforesaid acts of negligence on the part of the defendant were the proximate cause of the injuries sustained by the plaintiff.
- 10. That the plaintiff has incurred medical expenses and other special expenses, and will incur future medical expenses, lost wages and other special expenses, as a direct and proximate result of defendant's negligence.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant for permanent injuries in a reasonable amount to be determined at the trial of this cause, for medical expenses and other special expenses, for future medical expenses, lost wages and other special expenses, court costs, and all other proper relief in the premises.

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

BY: s/Rom Byron

Rom Byron, #21268-49 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Phone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

E-mail: romb@kennunn.com

REQUEST FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, Ken Nunn Law Office, and requests that this matter be tried by jury pursuant to Trial Rule 38.

-3-

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

BY: <u>s/Rom Byron</u>

Rom Byron, #21268-49 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Phone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

E-mail: romb@kennunn.com

Rom Byron, #21268-49 Ken Nunn Law Office 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone: 812-332-9451

Fax Number: 812-331-5321

Attorney for Plaintiff

APPEARANCE FORM (CIVIL) Initiating Party

	CAUSE NO:	
1.	Name of first initiating party	Christina Hardcastle 4154 Bertha Street Indianapolis, IN 46241
2.	Telephone of pro se initiating party	NA
3.	Attorney information (as applicable for service of process)	Rom Byron #21268-49 Ken Nunn Law Office 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 PHONE: 812 332-9451 FAX: 812 331-5321 Email: rom@kennunn.com
4.	Case type requested	CT (Civil Tort)
5.	Will accept FAX service	YES
6.	Are there related cases	NO
7.	Additional information required by State or Local Rules	
Conti partie	nuation of Item 1 (Names of initiating	NAME: NAME:
	nuation of Item 3 (Attorney information plicable for service of process)	

s/Rom Byron

Attorney-at-Law

(Attorney information shown above.)

Marion Superior Court 7

CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS FOR THE COUNTY OF MARION STATE OF INDIANA CITY COUNTY BUILDING, 200 E. WASHINGTON STREET INDIANAPOLÍS, INDIANA 46204 TELEPHONÉ 317 327-4740

Christi	ina Hardcastle			
		Plaintiff(s)		
	VS.		No	
Kroger	er Limited Partnership I			
		Defendant(s)	MONS	
	tate of Indiana to Defendant: sylvania Street, Suite 1610,		p I, c/o Corporation S	Service Company, 135 North
	You have been sued by the	e person(s) named "plaintiff"	in the court stated abo	ve.
deman	The nature of the suit againd which the plaintiff has made	nst you is stated in the complete and wants from you.	aint which is attached	to this document. It also states the
after yethree (ou receive this summons, or	plaint in writing, by you or yo judgment will be entered agai nmons was received by mail.	inst you for what the pl	renty (20) days, commencing the day laintiff has demanded. You have twenty Be Made In Court.
MOUP M	If you have a claim for rel vritten answer.	ief against the plaintiff arising	g from the same transac	ction or occurrence, you must assert it ir
	5/13/2021		Myla C	a. Eldridge
Bute		\overline{C}	CLERK, MARION CIF	RCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS
ATTOR KEN NU 104 FRA	BYRON, #21268-49 RNEY FOR PLAINTIFF UNN LAW OFFICE ANKLIN ROAD MINGTON, IN 47404	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF	SERVICE OF SUM	MONS
this	A copy of the above summ day of, 20	nons and a copy of the complant.	aint attached thereto w	ere received by me at
			SIGNATURE	OF DEFENDANT
PRAE	CIPE: I designate the follow	ving mode of service to be use	ed by the Clerk.	
XX	By certified or registered	mail with return receipt to abo	ove address.	
				ant or by leaving a copy of the summons of suitable age and discretion residing
	By delive summons and complaint a	ering a copy of summons and this dwelling house or usual	complaint personally t place of abode.	o defendant or by leaving a copy of the
	By serving his agent as pro	ovided by rule, statute or valid	d agreement, to-wit:	
		KEN NUNN	LAW OFFICE	
	PHON COUNTY C	BY: <u>s/RC</u> ATTORN	OM BYRON EY FOR PLAINTIFF	

Case 1:21-cv-01993-RLY-DLP Document 1-2 Filed 07/08/21 Page 6 of 15 PageID #: 13

of the complaint	OF MAILING: I certify that on theday of, 2021, I mailed a copy of this summons and a copy to each of the defendant(s) by (registered or certified mail requesting a return receipt signed by the addressee to each of said defendant(s) at the address(es) furnished by plaintiff.
Dated t	his day of, 2021.
	CLERK, MARION CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS
was mailed on th	SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL: I hereby certify that service of summons with return receipt requested theday of, 2021, and that a copy of the return of receipt was received by me on the of, 2021, which copy is attached herewith.
	CLERK, MARION CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS
_, 2021, I maile the same was ref	E OF CLERK OF SUMMONS NOT ACCEPTED BY MAIL: I hereby certify that on the day of day of this summons and a copy of the complaint to the defendant(s) by (registered or certified) mail, and turned without acceptance this day of, 2021, and I did deliver said summons and a copy of the Sheriff of MARION County, Indiana.
Dated t	his day of, 2021.
	CLERK, MARION CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS
RETURN OF S of, 2021.	SUMMONS: This summons came to hand on the day of, 2021, and I served the same on the day .
<u> </u>	By mailing a copy of the summons and complaint personally to address
2. 3.	By delivering a copy of summons and complaint personally to By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at
	By serving his agent as provided by rule, statute or valid agreement to-wit:
5.	Defendant cannot be found in my bailwick and summons was not served.
And I n	now return this writ this day of, 2021.
	SHERIFF or DEPUTY
RETURN ON S	SERVICE OF SUMMONS: I hereby certify that I have served the within summons:
1.	By delivery on theday of, 2021 a copy of this summons and a copy of the complaint to each of the within named defendant(s)
2.	of the within named defendant(s) By leaving on theday of, 2021 for each of the within named defendant(s) , a c opy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the respective dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion residing therein whose usual duties or activities include prompt communication of such information to the person served.
3.	and by mailing a copy of the
All don	summons without the complaint toatthe last known address of defendant(s). ie in MARION County, Indiana.
	SHEDIEF OF DEDITY

SHERIFF or DEPUTY

IN THE MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT NO. 7

CHRISTINA HARDCASTLE,)
Plaintiff,) CAUSE NO. 49D07-2105-CT-016069
v.)
KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I,)
Defendant.)
APPEA	ARANCE FORM
Party Classification: Initiating Respo	onding M Intervening M
The undersigned attorney and all attorne following party member(s): <u>Defendant k</u>	eys listed on this form now appear in this case for the <u>Kroger Limited Partnership I.</u>
Applicable attorney information for ser information as required by Trial Rules 3.	vice as required by Trial Rule 5(B)(2) and for case 1 and 77(B) is as follows:
Name: Donald B. Kite, Sr., #1160 Address: ATTORNEY AT LAW 8082 Stafford Lane Indianapolis, IN 46260	Phone: 317-697-5046 Fax: None Email: don.kite@gmail.com
3. There are other party members: Yes	No X (If yes, list on continuation page.)
4. <i>If first initiating party filing this case</i> , th Case Type under Administrative Rule 8(the Clerk is requested to assign this case the following b)(3):
5. I will accept service by FAX at the above	e noted number: Yes No _X
6. This case involves support issues: Yes	NoX
7. There are related cases: Yes No	X (If yes, list on continuation page.)
8. This form has been served on all other pa	rties and Certificate of Service is attached: Yes 🔀 No
	Respectfully submitted,
	/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr. Donald B. Kite, Sr., #11601-41 Attorney for Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 26, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the Indiana E-Filing System (IEFS). I also certify that on May 26, 2021, the foregoing document was served upon the following persons by IEFS:

Rom Byron, #21268-49 romb@kennunn.com

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr. Donald B. Kite, Sr.

ATTORNEY AT LAW 8082 Stafford Lane Indianapolis, IN 46260

IN THE MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT NO. 7

CHRISTINA HARDCASTLE,)
Plaintiff,) CAUSE NO. 49D07-2105-CT-016069
v.)
KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I,)
Defendant.)

DEFENDANT KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I'S ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I, by counsel, for its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages, states:

- 1. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I admits that the Plaintiff was present at the Kroger store which is located at 5173 West Washington Street, Indianapolis (Marion County), Indiana, on July 27, 2020, but is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation(s) which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 1 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 2. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I admits that the Plaintiff reported that she slipped and fell on a wet floor on the above date and at the above location but is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation(s) which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 2 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 3. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, the Defendant makes no response to the same.

- 4. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 4 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, the Defendant makes no response to the same.
- 5. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 5 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, the Defendant makes no response to the same.
- 6. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 6 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, the Defendant makes no response to the same.
- 7. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the truth of the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 7 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 8. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the truth of the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 8 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 9. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the truth of the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 9 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 10. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the truth of the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 10 of the Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Subject to further discovery, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I asserts the following affirmative defenses:

1. The Plaintiff's damages, if any, should be barred or reduced as a result of the Plaintiff's comparative fault.

2. To the extent that the Plaintiff receives or has received payments for her alleged injuries and damages, any such payments constitute satisfaction and must be set-off against any

recoveries made in this litigation against Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I.

3. The Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages.

4. The Plaintiff's damages, if any, should be barred or reduced with respect to

Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I inasmuch as said damages are attributable, in whole or in

part, to the negligence of a non-party whose identity is presently unknown.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I prays for judgment in its favor,

for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other appropriate relief.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr.

Donald B. Kite, Sr., #11601-41

Attorney for Defendant

Kroger Limited Partnership I

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 8, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the

Indiana E-Filing System (IEFS). I also certify that on June 8, 2021, the foregoing document was

served upon the following persons by IEFS:

Rom Byron, #21268-49

romb@kennunn.com

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr.

Donald B. Kite, Sr.

ATTORNEY AT LAW 8082 Stafford Lane

Indianapolis, IN 46260

3

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF MARION) 33.	CAUSE NO. 49D07-2105-CT-016069
CHRISTINA HARDCASTLE		
VS.		

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I

PLAINTIFF CHRISTINA HARDCASTLE'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS

WITNESSES:

- 1. Plaintiff Christina Hardcastle
- 2. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I
- 3. Eyewitness, Kevin Borter, (317) 378-2860
- 4. Eyewitness, Tisha, Store Manager, 5173 W Washington St, Indianapolis, IN 46241, (317) 381-9659

The Plaintiff anticipates that his/her non-retained treating healthcare providers and/or retained expert(s) will testify as to both damages and causation, including, but not limited to diagnoses; prognoses; past, present, and future treatment and its costs; and permanency (if applicable), including a permanent partial impairment rating; injuries she claims to have sustained in the accident in question (which have been disclosed his/herein) were more than likely caused by the accident in question, and are permanent in nature, and that the treatment was reasonable and necessary; that the opinions will be based upon their examination of the Plaintiff and/or treatment records from his/her healthcare providers upon which they routinely rely, treatises, and their own education, training, and experience; render opinions and facts consistent with the records of treatment and/or any narrative report made by the his/her non-retained treating healthcare providers. If the plaintiff retained a 26B4 expert, then that expert will rely on the above, and, in addition, his/her report and the opinions expressed therein.

- 5. All treating physicians, Sidney & Lois Eskenazi Hospital, 720 Eskenazi Ave, Indianapolis, IN 46202
- 6. All treating physicians, IU Health West Back & Neck Center Professional Office Center I, 1115 Ronald Reagan Pkwy # 236, Avon, IN 46123

- 7. All treating physicians, Fast Track Physical Therapy, Crawfordsville Rd, Speedway, IN 46224
- 8. All treating medical providers that plaintiff has seen for injuries.
- 9. Various lay witnesses, to be named later, will testify to the plaintiff's physical abilities before and/or after the incident.
- 10. All witnesses listed by defendant.
- 11. Additional witnesses may be listed by plaintiffs after discovery has been completed and prior to any deadlines set by the Court.
- 12. Plaintiff reserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses.

EXHIBITS:

- 1. Diagram of scene of incident.
- 2. Medical records.
- 3. Medical bills, if medical expenses are sought at trial.
- 4. X-rays or other results of diagnostic tests.
- 5. 911 Calls and/or recordings
- 6. Photographs or other depictions.
- 7. Video relating to this cause.
- 8. Video depictions/animations/stills of medical treatments and/or surgery performed or to be performed including but not limited to anatomical depictions of the human body and/or instrumentalities used or to be used in such treatments.
- 9. Animation depicting how the incident occurred or an expert's opinion on how the incident occurred or might have occurred or similarly including but not limited to instrumentalities and persons and locations involved in the incident.
- 10. Demonstrative aids and/or exemplars, including but not limited to photographs, website materials, Google Earth maps and street views or other computer assisted GPS location programs depicting locations.
- 11. All exhibits listed by defendant.

3

- 12. Additional exhibits may be listed by plaintiffs upon completion of discovery and prior to any deadlines set by the Court.
- 13. Any and all documents or other materials utilized by any expert retained by defendant and/or plaintiff to testify in this cause of action.
- 14. Any and all documents attached and/or referenced in defendant's discovery responses.

Respectfully submitted, KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

By: /s/Rom Byron

Rom Byron, #21268-49 Attorney for Plaintiff

Rom Byron, #21268-49 Ken Nunn Law Office Franklin Place 104 S. Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone (812) 332-9451 Attorney for Plaintiff

4 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 5th day of July, 2020 a copy of this document was filed electronically. Service of this filing will be made on all registered counsel by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or by mail should counsel not be registered.

Donald B. Kite, Sr. Attorney At Law 8082 Stafford Lane Indianapolis, IN 46260

> Respectfully submitted, KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

By: /s/ Rom Byron

Rom Byron, #21268-49 Attorney for Plaintiff

Rom Byron, #21268-49 Ken Nunn Law Office Franklin Place 104 S. Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone (812) 332-9451 Attorney for Plaintiff